A novel point of view on the conformal anomaly for quantised Dirac fields¹

Claudio Dappiaggi

II. Institut für Theoretische Physik Hamburg Universität

DPG Tagung München, 12th of March 2009

¹C. D., Thomas Hack and Nicola Pinamonti, to appear soon (\mathbb{R}) (\mathbb{R}) \mathbb{R} $\mathfrak{S} \otimes \mathfrak{S}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Motivations - I

We have already heard²

 \bullet if we consider a scalar field ϕ

$$\left(\Box_g - \frac{R}{6} - m^2\right)\phi = 0,$$

• on a FRW spacetime

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t) \left[dr^2 + r^2 d\mathbb{S}^2(heta,arphi)
ight],$$

• we can dwell into a semiclassical analysis

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi\langle:T_{\mu\nu}:\rangle_{\omega}, \quad \rightarrow \quad -R = 8\pi\langle:T:\rangle_{\omega}$$

²Please refer to Nicola Pinamonti's talk

Motivations - II

Classically we know that

 $T=-m^2\phi^2(x),$

but, at a quantum level, life is hard, and on a FRW spacetime

$$\langle :T: \rangle_{\omega} = -m^2 \langle :\phi^2: \rangle_{\omega} + \frac{1}{720} \left(R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{R^2}{3} + \Box R \right) + \frac{m^4}{8}$$

The semiclassical Einstein's equation with $H = \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)}$

$$-6(\dot{H}^2 + 2H^2) = -m^2 \langle :\phi^2 : \rangle_{\omega} + \frac{1}{30} (-\frac{1}{\pi} (\dot{H}H^2 + H^4 + \frac{m^4}{4})).$$

For $m^2 \gg H$ and $m^2 \gg R \longrightarrow \langle :\phi^2 : \rangle_{\omega} \sim \frac{m^2}{32\pi^2} + \beta R$

$$\dot{H} = \frac{-H^* + H_+^2 H^2}{H^2 - \frac{H_+^2}{4}} \quad H_+^2 = 360\pi - 2880\pi^2 m^2 \beta.$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆□ > ◆□ > ◆□ > ○ < ○

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Starting Whistle

- the trace anomaly leads to an effective cosmological constant,
- it can be interpreted as a potential dark energy,
- de Sitter appears as a late time stable solution.

Question: Is this result stable for other kind of matter, spinors in particular?

Basic Geometric Structures

We shall work in a 4D globally hyperbolic spacetime.

The following structures are necessary and well-defined

• the spin group Spin(3,1) as

$$\{e\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow Spin(3,1) \longrightarrow SO(3,1) \longrightarrow \{e\},\$$

• the frame bundle, over *M* endowed with a non degenerate metric

$$F(M) \doteq F(M)[SO(3,1),\pi',M] \quad \pi':F(M) \to M$$

the spin structure over M is the pair (S(M), ρ)

$$S(M) \doteq S(M)[Spin(3,1), \tilde{\pi}, M] \quad \tilde{\pi} : S(M) \rightarrow M,$$

with a bundle hom. $\rho: S(M) \rightarrow F(M)$,

• the Dirac bundle is an associated bundle

$$DM \doteq S(M) \times_T \mathbb{C}^4$$
, $D^*M \doteq S(M) \times_T (\mathbb{C}^4)^*$

out of the repr. $T \doteq D^{\left(\frac{1}{2},0\right)} \oplus D^{\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)}$ of $Spin_0(3,1) \sim SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{C}^4 .

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Classical Dynamic - I

Kinematical configurations: A Dirac spinor and a cospinor is

$$\psi \in \Gamma(DM), \quad \psi^{\dagger} \in \Gamma(D^*M)$$

 \bullet All globally space and time oriented 4D globally hyperbolic spacetimes admit a spin structure

The Dirac (and the dual) bundle trivializes and hence

 $\psi: \mathbf{M} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^4 \quad \psi^{\dagger}: \mathbf{M} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^4.$

• Next ingredient are γ -matrices, the building block of the algebra of Spin(3,1),

$$\{\gamma_{\mu},\gamma_{\nu}\}=2g_{\mu\nu},$$

Classical Dynamic - II

• Natural covariant derivative ∇ on *DM* as a pull-back from that on T(M),

$$\nabla : \Gamma(DM) \to \Gamma(DM \otimes T^*(M)).$$

- It is remarkable $\nabla \gamma = 0$
- we call dynamically allowed a (co)spinor such that

$$(-\gamma^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}+m)\psi=0, \quad (\gamma^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}+m)\psi^{\dagger}=0,$$

- $D = -\gamma^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} + m$ is the **Dirac operator**,
- $D' = \gamma^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} + m$ is the **dual Dirac operator**.
- since $DD' = D'D = -\Box + \frac{R}{4} + m^2$ then

$$\begin{cases} D\psi = 0, \longrightarrow (-\Box + \frac{R}{4} + m^2)\psi = 0\\ D'\psi^{\dagger} = 0 \longrightarrow (-\Box + \frac{R}{4} + m^2)\psi^{\dagger} = 0 \end{cases}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Fundamental Solutions

• $P = -\Box + \frac{R}{4} + m^2$ is an hyp. operator with metric principal symbol,

This entails:

- P admits an advanced E^+ and retarded E^- fundamental solution,
- $S^{\pm} \doteq D' E^{\pm}$ are the advanced and retarded fundamental solutions of D

$$S^{\pm}: \Gamma_0(DM) \to \Gamma(DM),$$

 $supp(S^{\pm}f) \subset J^{\pm}(supp(f)) \quad \forall f \in \Gamma_0(DM).$

- with identical properties we have $S^\pm_*\doteq DE^\pm$ for D',
- we call causal propagator

$$S \doteq S^+ - S^ S_* \doteq S_*^+ - S_*^-$$

Field Algebra - I

Ingredients for the field algebra:

- doubling $\longrightarrow \widetilde{\Gamma}_0 \doteq \Gamma_0(DM) \oplus \Gamma_0(D^*M)$,
- Conjugation $C(f \oplus f') = f^{\dagger} \oplus f^{'\dagger}$ for all $f \oplus f' \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_0$,
- global pairing of $\Gamma(DM)$ (and $\Gamma(D * M)$) as

$$\langle \psi, \psi' \rangle \doteq \int_{M} \psi(\mathbf{x}) \left(\psi' \right) (\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}),$$

• positive sesquilinear product on $\widetilde{\Gamma}_0/Ker(\widetilde{S})$ with $\widetilde{S} = S \oplus S_*$,

$$\left\{\widetilde{f},\widetilde{h}\right\}_{\widetilde{S}} = -i\langle f_1^{\dagger},Sh_1\rangle + i\langle S_*f_2,h_2^{\dagger}\rangle,$$

for all $\widetilde{f} = f_1 \oplus f_2$ and $\widetilde{h} = h_1 \oplus h_2$ in $\widetilde{\Gamma}_0$,

• Hilbert space as the completion $\mathcal{H} \doteq \overline{\widetilde{\Gamma}_0 / Ker(\widetilde{S})}$.

Field Algebra II

The unital *-algebra of fields is $\mathcal{F}(M,g)$

- 1. elements are $B(\tilde{f})$ where $\tilde{f} \to B(\tilde{f})$ is linear $\forall \tilde{f} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_0$,
- 2. $B(Df \oplus D'f') = 0$, for all $\tilde{f} \doteq f \oplus f' \in \tilde{\Gamma}_0$,
- 3. $B(\widetilde{C}\widetilde{f}) = B(\widetilde{f})^*$,
- 4. $B(\tilde{f})^*B(\tilde{h}) + B(\tilde{h})B(\tilde{f})^* = \left\{\tilde{f},\tilde{h}\right\}_{\tilde{S}}$ (CAR).

We want observables to commute if supported at spacelike separated regions.

Algebra of observable $\mathcal{A}(M, g)$ is the even subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}(M, g)$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Hadamard states - I

We seek a gauge invariant state $\omega : \mathcal{A}(M,g) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

- it is positive $o \omega(a^*a) \geq 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}(M,g)$ and $\omega(\mathbb{I}) = 1$,
- it is quasifree

$$\omega^+(f,g) \doteq \omega(\psi(g)\psi^{\dagger}(f)) \text{ and } \omega^-(f,g) \doteq \omega(\psi^{\dagger}(f),\psi(g)),$$

• it is of Hadamard form.

Hadamard states

- have the same UV structure of Minkowski vacuum,
- are such that fluctuations of $T_{\mu\nu}$ are bounded.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ □ のへで

Hadamard States - II

Hadamard states can be characterized

 \bullet locally \rightarrow in a geodesic convex neighbourhood

$$\begin{split} \omega^{\pm}(x,y) &= \pm \frac{1}{8\pi^2} D'_y \left(H^{\pm}(x,y) + W(x,y) \right), \\ H^{\pm}(x,y) &= \frac{U(x,y)}{\sigma_{\epsilon}(x,y)} + V(x,y) \ln \frac{\sigma_{\epsilon}(x,y)}{\lambda}. \end{split}$$

- σ(x, y) is the squared geodesic distance and λ a reference (squared) length,
- U and V are smooth functions

$$U(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n(x,y)\sigma^n, \quad V(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n(x,y)\sigma^n,$$

The coefficients u_n and v_n are determined via recursion relations!

• We have all ingredients to define normal ordering and the algebra of Wick polynomials.

From Classical to Quantum Stress-Energy Tensor

The classical stress-energy tensor is

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi^{\dagger} \gamma_{(\mu} \psi_{;\nu)} - \psi^{\dagger}_{(;\mu} \gamma_{\nu)} \psi \right) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}[\psi] g_{\mu\nu}, \tag{1}$$

$$L[\psi] = \frac{1}{2} \left[\psi^{\dagger} D \psi + (D' \psi^{\dagger}) \psi \right].$$
⁽²⁾

• Dirac equation entails

$$abla^{\mu}T_{\mu
u}=0$$
 $T=g^{\mu
u}T_{\mu
u}=-m\psi^{\dagger}\psi.$

- We are interested in $\langle : T_{\mu\nu} : \rangle_{\omega}$ with an Hadamard state ω .
 - point-splitting along a geodesic

$$T_{\mu\nu}(x,y) \doteq \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi^{\dagger}(x) \gamma_{(\mu} g_{\nu)}^{\nu'} \psi(y)_{;\nu'} - \psi^{\dagger}(x)_{;(\mu} \gamma_{\nu)} \psi(y) \right),$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶ ◆□

Seeking a quantum conserved $T_{\mu u}$

Subtraction of the singularity

$$\omega(: T_{\mu\nu}(x) :) \doteq Tr \left[\omega(T_{\mu\nu}(x, y)) - T_{\mu\nu}^{sing}(x, y) \right]_{y=x}$$
$$\doteq Tr \left[D_{\mu\nu}^0 \left(\omega^-(x, y) + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} D'_y H \right) \right]_{y=x} \doteq \frac{1}{8\pi^2} Tr \left[D_{\mu\nu} W(x, y) \right]_{y=x}$$

• Canonical but unsatisfactory choice of $D^0_{\mu
u}$, $D_{\mu
u}$

$$D^0_{\mu\nu} \doteq \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{(\mu} \left(g^{\nu'}_{\nu)} \nabla_{\nu'} - \nabla_{\nu)} \right), \qquad D_{\mu\nu} \doteq -D^0_{\mu\nu} D'_y,$$

• Problem: $D'_{y}H(x, y)$ does not satisfy Einstein's equations

- $\langle : T_{\mu\nu} : \rangle_{\omega}$ is not conserved \rightarrow ill-posed semiclassical Einstein's equations,
- we can seek for the same solution as in the scalar case
 - 1. we add to the classical $T_{\mu\nu}$ multiples of $L[\psi]g_{\mu\nu}$,
 - 2. it amounts to $D_{\mu\nu}^{mod} = D_{\mu\nu} + \frac{c}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(D'_{x} + D_{y})D'_{y}$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The Trace

Let us

- consider the described modified $T^{mod}_{\mu\nu}$,
- an Hadamard state ω ,
- a reference length $\lambda = 2 \exp(\frac{7}{2} 2\gamma)m^{-2}$ if $m \neq 0$ (arbitrary for m = 0),
- It turns out that if $c = \frac{1}{6}$
 - $\nabla^{\mu}\langle :T_{\mu\nu}:\rangle_{\omega}=0$,
 - the trace does not vanish even with m = 0 and

$$\langle : T : \rangle_{\omega} = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{R^2}{1152} - \frac{\Box R}{480} - \frac{R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}}{720} - \frac{7}{5760} R_{\mu\nu\rho\delta} R^{\mu\nu\rho\delta} \right)$$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ



We have learned

- to control the classical and quantum aspects of Fermi fields,
- to rigorously calculate the trace anomaly,
- to construct the extended algebra encompassing Wick polynomials.

We shall

- extend the semiclassical cosmological analysis for the scalar field,
- use our knowledge to tackle problems in baryogenesis and leptogenesis,
- many many many other things...