Quantum quenches in the thermodynamic limit

Marcos Rigol

Department of Physics The Pennsylvania State University

Quantum dynamics in systems with many coupled degrees of freedom: challenges for theory

Center for Free-Electron Laser Science, Hamburg March 26, 2014

Outline

Introduction

- Computational techniques for quantum many-body problems
- Numerical Linked Cluster Expansions
- Quantum quenches

Quantum quenches in the thermodynamic limit

- Diagonal ensemble and NLCEs
- Quantum quenches in one-dimension

3 Conclusions

Outline

Introduction

Computational techniques for quantum many-body problems

- Numerical Linked Cluster Expansions
- Quantum quenches

Quantum quenches in the thermodynamic limit

- Diagonal ensemble and NLCEs
- Quantum quenches in one-dimension

3 Conclusions

Computational techniques for arbitrary dimensions

Quantum Monte Carlo simulations
 Polynomial time ⇒ Large systems ⇒ Finite size scaling
 Sign problem ⇒ Limited classes of models

Computational techniques for arbitrary dimensions

- Quantum Monte Carlo simulations
 Polynomial time ⇒ Large systems ⇒ Finite size scaling
 Sign problem ⇒ Limited classes of models
- Exact diagonalization
 Exponential problem ⇒ Small systems ⇒ Finite size effects
 No systematic extrapolation to larger system sizes
 Can be used for any model!

Computational techniques for arbitrary dimensions

- Quantum Monte Carlo simulations
 Polynomial time ⇒ Large systems ⇒ Finite size scaling
 Sign problem ⇒ Limited classes of models
- Exact diagonalization
 Exponential problem ⇒ Small systems ⇒ Finite size effects
 No systematic extrapolation to larger system sizes
 Can be used for any model!
- High temperature expansions
 Exponential problem ⇒ High temperatures
 Thermodynamic limit ⇒ Extrapolations to low T
 Can be used for any model!
 Can fail (at low T) even when correlations are short ranged!

Computational techniques for arbitrary dimensions

- Quantum Monte Carlo simulations
 Polynomial time ⇒ Large systems ⇒ Finite size scaling
 Sign problem ⇒ Limited classes of models
- Exact diagonalization
 Exponential problem ⇒ Small systems ⇒ Finite size effects
 No systematic extrapolation to larger system sizes
 Can be used for any model!
- High temperature expansions
 Exponential problem ⇒ High temperatures
 Thermodynamic limit ⇒ Extrapolations to low T
 Can be used for any model!
 Can fail (at low T) even when correlations are short ranged!
- DMFT, DCA, DMRG, ...

Extensive observables $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ per lattice site (\mathcal{O}) in the thermodynamic limit

$$\mathcal{O} = \sum_{c} L(c) \times W_{\mathcal{O}}(c)$$

where L(c) is the number of embeddings of cluster c

Extensive observables $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ per lattice site (\mathcal{O}) in the thermodynamic limit

$$\mathcal{O} = \sum_{c} L(c) \times W_{\mathcal{O}}(c)$$

where L(c) is the number of embeddings of cluster c and $W_{\mathcal{O}}(c)$ is the weight of observable \mathcal{O} in cluster c

$$W_{\mathcal{O}}(c) = \mathcal{O}(c) - \sum_{s \subset c} W_{\mathcal{O}}(s).$$

 $\mathcal{O}(c)$ is the result for \mathcal{O} in cluster c

$$\mathcal{O}(c) = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \hat{\mathcal{O}} \, \hat{\rho}_{c}^{\mathsf{GC}} \right\},$$
$$\hat{\rho}_{c}^{\mathsf{GC}} = \frac{1}{Z_{c}^{\mathsf{GC}}} \exp^{-\left(\hat{H}_{c} - \mu \hat{N}_{c}\right)/k_{B}T}$$
$$Z_{c}^{\mathsf{GC}} = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \exp^{-\left(\hat{H}_{c} - \mu \hat{N}_{c}\right)/k_{B}T} \right\}$$

and the s sum runs over all subclusters of c.

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

 In HTEs O(c) is expanded in powers of β and only a finite number of terms are retained.

- In HTEs O(c) is expanded in powers of β and only a finite number of terms are retained.
- In NLCEs an exact diagonalization of the cluster is used to calculate O(c) at any temperature.

MR, T. Bryant, and R. R. P. Singh, PRL **97**, 187202 (2006). MR, T. Bryant, and R. R. P. Singh, PRE **75**, 061118 (2007). MR, T. Bryant, and R. R. P. Singh, PRE **75**, 061119 (2007).

 In HTEs O(c) is expanded in powers of β and only a finite number of terms are retained.

In NLCEs an exact diagonalization of the cluster is used to calculate O(c) at any temperature.
 MR, T. Bryant, and R. R. P. Singh, PRL 97, 187202 (2006).
 MR, T. Bryant, and R. R. P. Singh, PRE 75, 061118 (2007).
 MR, T. Bryant, and R. R. P. Singh, PRE 75, 061119 (2007).

• 2D Hubbard-like models (square and honeycomb), spin models (kagome, checkerboard, pyroclore – experiments)

MR and R. R. P. Singh, PRL 98, 207204 (2007).

MR and R. R. P. Singh, PRB 76, 184403 (2007).

E. Khatami and MR, PRB 83, 134431 (2011).

- E. Khatami and MR, PRA 84, 053611 (2011).
- E. Khatami, R. R. P. Singh, and MR, PRB 84, 224411 (2011).
- E. Khatami, J. S. Helton, and MR, PRB 85, 064401 (2012).
- E. Khatami and MR, PRA 86, 023633 (2012).
- B. Tang, T. Paiva, E. Khatami, and MR, PRL 109, 205301 (2012).
- B. Tang, T. Paiva, E. Khatami, and MR, PRB 88, 125127 (2013).

• • •

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

Outline

Introduction

- Computational techniques for quantum many-body problems
- Numerical Linked Cluster Expansions
- Quantum quenches

Quantum quenches in the thermodynamic limit

- Diagonal ensemble and NLCEs
- Quantum quenches in one-dimension

3 Conclusions

A
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B

i) Find all clusters that can be embedded on the lattice

Bond clusters



- 4 ∃ ▶

- i) Find all clusters that can be embedded on the lattice
- ii) Group the ones with the same Hamiltonian (Topological cluster)

No. of bonds	topological clusters
0	1
1	1
2	1
3	2
4	4
5	6
6	14
7	28
8	68
9	156
10	399
11	1012
12	2732
13	7385
14	20665

- (E

Find all clusters that can be	No. of bonds	topological clusters
embedded on the lattice	0	1
Group the energy with the	1	1
Group the ones with the	2	1
same Hamiltonian (Topo-	3	2
logical cluster)	4	4
Find all subclustors of a	5	6
	6	14
given topological cluster	7	28
	8	68
	9	156
	10	399
	11	1012
	12	2732
	13	7385
	14	20665

i)

ii)

iii)

э

(ロ) (日) (日) (日) (日)

i)	Find all clusters that can be	No. of bonds	topological clusters
	embedded on the lattice	0	1
ii)	Group the ones with the	1	1
")	are Hamiltonian (Topo	2	1
	Same Hamiltonian (10po-	3	2
	logical cluster)	4	4
iii)	Find all subclusters of a	5	6
)	aiven tenelogiaal alustar	6	14
	given topological cluster	7	28
iv)	Diagonalize the topological	8	68
••)	clusters and compute the	9	156
		10	399
	observables	11	1012
		12	2732
		13	7385
		14	20665

æ

・ロト ・ 一 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- i) Find all clusters that can be embedded on the lattice
- ii) Group the ones with the same Hamiltonian (Topological cluster)
- iii) Find all subclusters of a given topological cluster
- iv) Diagonalize the topological clusters and compute the observables
- v) Perform the subgraph substraction to compute the weight of each cluster

Heisenberg Model



< 同 > < ∃ >

Site clusters

	с	L(c)	-	No. of sites	topological clusters
•	1	1	-	1	1
•	1	1		2	1
••	2	2		3	1
				4	3
•••	3	2		5	4
. 1	4	4		6	10
	4	4		7	19
• • •	5	4		8	51
				9	112
••••	6	2		10	300
• •	_			11	746
•••	7	1		12	2042
	8	4		13	5450
•	0	+		14	15197
• • •	9	8		15	42192

æ

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Square clusters



No. of squares	topological clusters
0	1
1	1
2	1
3	2
4	5
5	11

æ



(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Resummation algorithms

We can define partial sums

$$\mathcal{O}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n S_i$$
, with $S_i = \sum_{c_i} L(c_i) \times W_{\mathcal{O}}(c_i)$

where all clusters c_i share a given characteristic (no. of bonds, sites, etc). Goal: Estimate $\mathcal{O} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{O}_n$ from a sequence $\{\mathcal{O}_n\}$, with n = 1, ..., N.

< 🗇 > < 🖻 > < 🖻

Resummation algorithms

We can define partial sums

$$\mathcal{O}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n S_i$$
, with $S_i = \sum_{c_i} L(c_i) \times W_{\mathcal{O}}(c_i)$

where all clusters c_i share a given characteristic (no. of bonds, sites, etc). Goal: Estimate $\mathcal{O} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{O}_n$ from a sequence $\{\mathcal{O}_n\}$, with n = 1, ..., N.

Wynn's algorithm:

V

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon_n^{(-1)} &= 0, \qquad \varepsilon_n^{(0)} = \mathcal{O}_n, \qquad \varepsilon_n^{(k)} = \varepsilon_{n+1}^{(k-2)} + \frac{1}{\Delta \varepsilon_n^{(k-1)}} \end{split}$$
 where $\Delta \varepsilon_n^{(k-1)} = \varepsilon_{n+1}^{(k-1)} - \varepsilon_n^{(k-1)}$.

(日) (日) (日)

Resummation algorithms

We can define partial sums

$$\mathcal{O}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n S_i$$
, with $S_i = \sum_{c_i} L(c_i) \times W_{\mathcal{O}}(c_i)$

where all clusters c_i share a given characteristic (no. of bonds, sites, etc). Goal: Estimate $\mathcal{O} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{O}_n$ from a sequence $\{\mathcal{O}_n\}$, with n = 1, ..., N.

Wynn's algorithm:

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon_{n}^{(-1)} &= 0, \qquad \varepsilon_{n}^{(0)} = \mathcal{O}_{n}, \qquad \varepsilon_{n}^{(k)} = \varepsilon_{n+1}^{(k-2)} + \frac{1}{\Delta \varepsilon_{n}^{(k-1)}} \\ \text{where } \Delta \varepsilon_{n}^{(k-1)} &= \varepsilon_{n+1}^{(k-1)} - \varepsilon_{n}^{(k-1)}. \\ \text{Brezinski's algorithm } [\theta_{n}^{(-1)} &= 0, \ \theta_{n}^{(0)} = \mathcal{O}_{n}]: \\ \theta_{n}^{(2k+1)} &= \theta_{n}^{(2k-1)} + \frac{1}{\Delta \theta_{n}^{(2k)}}, \qquad \theta_{n}^{(2k+2)} = \theta_{n+1}^{(2k)} + \frac{\Delta \theta_{n+1}^{(2k)} \Delta \theta_{n+1}^{(2k+1)}}{\Delta^{2} \theta_{n}^{(2k+1)}} \\ \text{where } \Delta^{2} \theta_{n}^{(k)} &= \theta_{n+2}^{(k)} - 2 \theta_{n+1}^{(k)} + \theta_{n}^{(k)}. \end{split}$$

Resummation results (Heisenberg model)





A B A B A B A B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A

Resummation results (Heisenberg model)



Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

NLCEs for the diagonal ensemble

March 26, 2014 12 / 30

Outline

Introduction

- Computational techniques for quantum many-body problems
- Numerical Linked Cluster Expansions
- Quantum quenches

Quantum quenches in the thermodynamic limit

- Diagonal ensemble and NLCEs
- Quantum quenches in one-dimension

3 Conclusions

Quantum Newton's Cradle



T. Kinoshita, T. Wenger, and D. S. Weiss, Nature **440**, 900 (2006).

 $\gamma = \frac{mg_{1D}}{\hbar^2\rho}$

 g_{1D} : Interaction strength ρ : One-dimensional density

If $\gamma \gg 1$ the system is in the strongly correlated Tonks-Girardeau regime

If $\gamma \ll 1$ the system is in the weakly interacting regime

Also in: M. Gring *et al.* (Schmiedmayer's group), Science **337**, 1318 (2012).

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Quenches in one-dimensional superlattices

Quantum dynamics in a 1D superlattice

Trotzky *et al.* (Bloch's group), Nature Phys. **8**, 325 (2012).

Initial state $|01010...1010\rangle$

Unitary dynamics under the "Bose-Hubbard" Hamiltonian

Experimental results (o) vs exact *t*-DMRG calculations (lines) without free parameters





Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

NLCEs for the diagonal ensemble

March 26, 2014 15 / 30

Unitary dynamics after a sudden quench

If the initial state is not an eigenstate of \widehat{H}

$$|\psi_0\rangle \neq |\alpha\rangle \quad \text{where} \quad \widehat{H}|\alpha\rangle = E_\alpha |\alpha\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad E_0 = \langle \psi_0 | \widehat{H} | \psi_0 \rangle,$$

then a few-body observable O will evolve following

$$O(\tau) \equiv \langle \psi(\tau) | \hat{O} | \psi(\tau) \rangle \quad \text{where} \quad |\psi(\tau)\rangle = e^{-i\hat{H}\tau/\hbar} |\psi_0\rangle.$$

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Unitary dynamics after a sudden quench

If the initial state is not an eigenstate of \widehat{H}

$$|\psi_0\rangle \neq |\alpha\rangle \quad \text{where} \quad \widehat{H}|\alpha\rangle = E_\alpha |\alpha\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad E_0 = \langle \psi_0 | \widehat{H} | \psi_0 \rangle,$$

then a few-body observable O will evolve following

$$O(\tau) \equiv \langle \psi(\tau) | \hat{O} | \psi(\tau) \rangle \quad \text{where} \quad |\psi(\tau)\rangle = e^{-i\hat{H}\tau/\hbar} |\psi_0\rangle.$$

What is it that we call thermalization?

$$\overline{O(\tau)} = O(E_0) = O(T) = O(T, \mu).$$

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Unitary dynamics after a sudden quench

If the initial state is not an eigenstate of \widehat{H}

$$|\psi_0\rangle \neq |\alpha\rangle \quad \text{where} \quad \widehat{H}|\alpha\rangle = E_\alpha |\alpha\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad E_0 = \langle \psi_0 | \widehat{H} | \psi_0 \rangle,$$

then a few-body observable O will evolve following

$$O(\tau) \equiv \langle \psi(\tau) | \widehat{O} | \psi(\tau) \rangle \quad \text{where} \quad |\psi(\tau)\rangle = e^{-i\widehat{H}\tau/\hbar} |\psi_0\rangle.$$

What is it that we call thermalization?

$$\overline{O(\tau)} = O(E_0) = O(T) = O(T, \mu).$$

One can rewrite

$$O(\tau) = \sum_{\alpha',\alpha} C^{\star}_{\alpha'} C_{\alpha} e^{i(E_{\alpha'} - E_{\alpha})\tau/\hbar} O_{\alpha'\alpha} \quad \text{where} \quad |\psi_0\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} C_{\alpha} |\alpha\rangle.$$

Taking the infinite time average (diagonal ensemble $\hat{\rho}_{DE} \equiv \sum_{\alpha} |C_{\alpha}|^2 |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha |$)

$$\overline{O(\tau)} = \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau d\tau' \langle \Psi(\tau') | \hat{O} | \Psi(\tau') \rangle = \sum_\alpha |C_\alpha|^2 O_{\alpha\alpha} \equiv \langle \hat{O} \rangle_{\rm DE},$$

which depends on the initial conditions through $C_{\alpha} = \langle \alpha | \psi_0 \rangle$.

Description after relaxation (lattice models)

Hard-core boson (spinless fermion) Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} -t \left(\hat{b}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{i+1} + \text{H.c.} \right) + V \hat{n}_{i} \hat{n}_{i+1} - t' \left(\hat{b}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{i+2} + \text{H.c.} \right) + V' \hat{n}_{i} \hat{n}_{i+2}$$

Dynamics vs statistical ensembles



Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

NLCEs for the diagonal ensemble

Eigenstate thermalization

Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis

[Deutsch, PRA 43 2046 (1991); Srednicki, PRE 50, 888 (1994).]

The expectation value ⟨α|Ô|α⟩ of a few-body observable Ô in an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian |α⟩, with energy E_α, of a many-body system is equal to the thermal average of Ô at the mean energy E_α:

$$\langle \alpha | \widehat{O} | \alpha \rangle = \langle \widehat{O} \rangle_{\mathrm{ME}}(E_{\alpha}).$$



Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

NLCEs for the diagonal ensemble

Time fluctuations and their scaling with system size



Time fluctuations

Are they small because of dephasing?

$$\begin{split} \langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle - \overline{\langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle} &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha', \alpha \\ \alpha' \neq \alpha}} C_{\alpha}^{\star} C_{\alpha} e^{i(E_{\alpha'} - E_{\alpha})t} O_{\alpha'\alpha} \sim \sum_{\substack{\alpha', \alpha \\ \alpha' \neq \alpha}} \frac{e^{i(E_{\alpha'} - E_{\alpha})t}}{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha'\alpha} \\ &\sim \frac{\sqrt{N_{\text{states}}^2}}{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha'\alpha}^{\text{typical}} \sim O_{\alpha'\alpha}^{\text{typical}} \end{split}$$

æ

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Time fluctuations

Are they small because of dephasing?

$$\begin{split} \langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle - \overline{\langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle} &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha', \alpha \\ \alpha' \neq \alpha}} C_{\alpha'}^{\star} C_{\alpha} e^{i(E_{\alpha'} - E_{\alpha})t} O_{\alpha'\alpha} \sim \sum_{\substack{\alpha', \alpha \\ \alpha' \neq \alpha}} \frac{e^{i(E_{\alpha'} - E_{\alpha})t}}{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha'\alpha} \\ &\sim \frac{\sqrt{N_{\text{states}}^2}}{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha'\alpha}^{\text{typical}} \sim O_{\alpha'\alpha}^{\text{typical}} \end{split}$$

Time average of $\langle \hat{O} \rangle$

$$\begin{split} \overline{\langle \hat{O} \rangle} &= \sum_{\alpha} |C_{\alpha}|^2 O_{\alpha \alpha} \\ &\sim \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha \alpha} \sim O_{\alpha \alpha}^{\text{typical}} \end{split}$$

One needs: $O^{\mathrm{typical}}_{\alpha'\alpha} \ll O^{\mathrm{typical}}_{\alpha\alpha}$

э

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Time fluctuations

Are they small because of dephasing?

$$\begin{split} \langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle - \overline{\langle \hat{O}(t) \rangle} &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha', \alpha \\ \alpha' \neq \alpha}} C_{\alpha'}^{\star} C_{\alpha} e^{i(E_{\alpha'} - E_{\alpha})t} O_{\alpha'\alpha} \sim \sum_{\substack{\alpha', \alpha \\ \alpha' \neq \alpha}} \frac{e^{i(E_{\alpha'} - E_{\alpha})t}}{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha'\alpha} \\ &\sim \frac{\sqrt{N_{\text{states}}^2}}{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha'\alpha}^{\text{typical}} \sim O_{\alpha'\alpha}^{\text{typical}} \\ &\sim \frac{\sqrt{N_{\text{states}}^2}}{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha'\alpha}^{\text{typical}} \sim O_{\alpha'\alpha}^{\text{typical}} \\ \overline{\langle \hat{O} \rangle} &= \sum_{\alpha} |C_{\alpha}|^2 O_{\alpha\alpha} \\ &\sim \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha\alpha} \sim O_{\alpha\alpha}^{\text{typical}} \\ &\sim \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{N_{\text{states}}} O_{\alpha\alpha} \sim O_{\alpha\alpha}^{\text{typical}} \\ &\text{One needs: } O_{\alpha'\alpha}^{\text{typical}} \ll O_{\alpha\alpha}^{\text{typical}} \\ &\text{MR, PRA 80, 053607 (2009)} \end{split}$$

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

NLCEs for the diagonal ensemble

Outline

Introduction

- Computational techniques for quantum many-body problems
- Numerical Linked Cluster Expansions
- Quantum quenches

Quantum quenches in the thermodynamic limit Diagonal ensemble and NLCEs

Quantum quenches in one-dimension

3 Conclusions

A
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B
A
B

Diagonal ensemble and NLCEs

The initial state is in thermal equilibrium in contact with a reservoir

$$\hat{\rho}_{c}^{I} = \frac{\sum_{a} e^{-(E_{a}^{c} - \mu_{I} N_{a}^{c})/T_{I}} |a_{c}\rangle \langle a_{c}|}{Z_{c}^{I}}, \quad \text{where} \quad Z_{c}^{I} = \sum_{a} e^{-(E_{a}^{c} - \mu^{I} N_{a}^{c})/T_{I}},$$

 $|a_c\rangle$ (E_a^c) are the eigenstates (eigenvalues) of the initial Hamiltonian \hat{H}_c^I in c.

MR, arXiv:1401.2160.

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

< 🗇 > < 🖻 > < 🖻

Diagonal ensemble and NLCEs

The initial state is in thermal equilibrium in contact with a reservoir

$$\hat{\rho}_{c}^{I} = \frac{\sum_{a} e^{-(E_{a}^{c} - \mu_{I} N_{a}^{c})/T_{I}} |a_{c}\rangle \langle a_{c}|}{Z_{c}^{I}}, \quad \text{where} \quad Z_{c}^{I} = \sum_{a} e^{-(E_{a}^{c} - \mu^{I} N_{a}^{c})/T_{I}},$$

 $|a_c\rangle$ (E_a^c) are the eigenstates (eigenvalues) of the initial Hamiltonian \hat{H}_c^I in c.

At the time of the quench $\hat{H}_c^I \to \hat{H}_c$, the system is detached from the reservoir. Writing the eigenstates of \hat{H}_c^I in terms of the eigenstates of \hat{H}_c

$$\hat{\rho}_{c}^{\mathsf{DE}} \equiv \lim_{\tau' \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tau'} \int_{0}^{\tau'} d\tau \, \hat{\rho}(\tau) = \sum_{\alpha} W_{\alpha}^{c} \, |\alpha_{c}\rangle \langle \alpha_{c}|$$

where

$$W^c_{\alpha} = \frac{\sum_a e^{-(E^c_a - \mu_I N^c_a)/T_I} |\langle \alpha_c | a_c \rangle|^2}{Z^I_c},$$

 $|\alpha_c\rangle$ (ε^c_{α}) are the eigenstates (eigenvalues) of the final Hamiltonian \hat{H}_c in c.

MR, arXiv:1401.2160.

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

• □ • • @ • • = • • = •

Diagonal ensemble and NLCEs

The initial state is in thermal equilibrium in contact with a reservoir

$$\hat{\rho}_{c}^{I} = \frac{\sum_{a} e^{-(E_{a}^{c} - \mu_{I} N_{a}^{c})/T_{I}} |a_{c}\rangle \langle a_{c}|}{Z_{c}^{I}}, \quad \text{where} \quad Z_{c}^{I} = \sum_{a} e^{-(E_{a}^{c} - \mu^{I} N_{a}^{c})/T_{I}},$$

 $|a_c\rangle$ (E_a^c) are the eigenstates (eigenvalues) of the initial Hamiltonian \hat{H}_c^I in c.

At the time of the quench $\hat{H}_c^I \to \hat{H}_c$, the system is detached from the reservoir. Writing the eigenstates of \hat{H}_c^I in terms of the eigenstates of \hat{H}_c

$$\hat{\rho}_{c}^{\mathsf{DE}} \equiv \lim_{\tau' \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tau'} \int_{0}^{\tau'} d\tau \, \hat{\rho}(\tau) = \sum_{\alpha} W_{\alpha}^{c} \, |\alpha_{c}\rangle \langle \alpha_{c}|$$

where

$$W^c_{\alpha} = \frac{\sum_a e^{-(E^c_a - \mu_I N^c_a)/T_I} |\langle \alpha_c | a_c \rangle|^2}{Z^I_c},$$

 $|\alpha_c\rangle$ (ε^c_{α}) are the eigenstates (eigenvalues) of the final Hamiltonian \hat{H}_c in c.

Using $\hat{\rho}_c^{\text{DE}}$ in the calculation of $\mathcal{O}(c)$, NLCEs allow one to compute observables in the DE in the thermodynamic limit.

MR, arXiv:1401.2160.

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

Outline

Introduction

- Computational techniques for quantum many-body problems
- Numerical Linked Cluster Expansions
- Quantum quenches

Quantum quenches in the thermodynamic limit Diagonal ensemble and NLCEs

Quantum quenches in one-dimension

3 Conclusions

Models and quenches

Hard-core bosons in 1D lattices at half filling ($\mu_I = 0$)

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} -t \left(\hat{b}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{i+1} + \text{H.c.} \right) + V \hat{n}_{i} \hat{n}_{i+1} - t' \left(\hat{b}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{i+2} + \text{H.c.} \right) + V' \hat{n}_{i} \hat{n}_{i+2}$$

Quench: $T_I, t_I = 0.5, V_I = 1.5, t'_I = V'_I = 0 \rightarrow t = V = 1.0, t' = V'$

3

• □ • • @ • • = • • = •

Models and quenches

Hard-core bosons in 1D lattices at half filling ($\mu_I = 0$)

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} -t \left(\hat{b}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{i+1} + \text{H.c.} \right) + V \hat{n}_{i} \hat{n}_{i+1} - t' \left(\hat{b}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{i+2} + \text{H.c.} \right) + V' \hat{n}_{i} \hat{n}_{i+2}$$

Quench: T_I , $t_I = 0.5$, $V_I = 1.5$, $t'_I = V'_I = 0 \rightarrow t = V = 1.0$, t' = V'



Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

NLCEs for the diagonal ensemble

Models and quenches

Hard-core bosons in 1D lattices at half filling ($\mu_I = 0$)

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} -t \left(\hat{b}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{i+1} + \text{H.c.} \right) + V \hat{n}_{i} \hat{n}_{i+1} - t' \left(\hat{b}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{i+2} + \text{H.c.} \right) + V' \hat{n}_{i} \hat{n}_{i+2}$$

Quench: T_I , $t_I = 0.5$, $V_I = 1.5$, $t'_I = V'_I = 0 \rightarrow t = V = 1.0$, t' = V'



Energy and particle number dispersion in the DE



The dispersion of the energy and particle number in the DE depends on the initial state independently of whether the system is integrable or not.

Few-body experimental observables in the DE

Momentum distribution





A B > < B >

A 🖓

Few-body experimental observables in the DE

Momentum distribution

$$\hat{m}_k = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{jj'} e^{ik(j-j')} \hat{\rho}_{jj'}$$

Differences between DE and GE

$$\delta(m)_{l} = \frac{\sum_{k} |(m_{k})_{l}^{\mathsf{DE}} - (m_{k})_{18}^{\mathsf{GE}}}{\sum_{k} (m_{k})_{18}^{\mathsf{GE}}}$$



Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

March 26, 2014 26 / 30

Few-body experimental observables in the DE

nn kinetic energy

$$K = -t \sum_{i} \langle \hat{b}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{i+1} \rangle$$

Differences between DE and GE

$$\delta(K)_l = \frac{|K_l^{\mathsf{DE}} - K_{18}^{\mathsf{GE}}|}{K_{18}^{\mathsf{GE}}}$$



Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

NLCEs for the diagonal ensemble

March 26, 2014 27 / 30

NLCEs vs exact diagonalization



Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

NLCEs for the diagonal ensemble

March 26, 2014

28/30

- NLCEs provide a general framework to study the diagonal ensemble in lattice systems after a quantum quench in the thermodynamic limit.
- NLCE results suggest that few-body observables thermalize in nonintegrable systems while they do not thermalize in integrable systems.
- As one approaches the integrable point DE-NLCEs behave as NLCEs for equilibrium systems approaching a phase transition. This suggests that a transition to thermalization may occur as soon as one breaks integrability.

Computational techniques for arbitrary dimensions

Quantum Monte Carlo simulations
 Polynomial time ⇒ Large systems ⇒ Finite size scaling
 Sign problem ⇒ Limited classes of models

DQMC of a 2D system with: U = 6t, V = 0.04t, T = 0.31t and 560 fermions



S. Chiesa, C. N. Varney, MR, and R. T. Scalettar, PRL 106, 035301 (2011).

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)