## Tracking and Vertex Reconstruction

The determination of the momentum of charged particles can be performed by measuring the bending of a particle trajectory (track) in a magnetic field


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{F}=q \vec{v} \times \vec{B} \\
& \frac{m v^{2}}{r}=q v B
\end{aligned}
$$

Lorentz force: is the force on a point charge due to electromagnetic fields
... for a particle in motion perpendicular to a constant B field

In practice:

- use layers of position
sensitive detectors before and after (or inside) a magnetic field to measure a trajectory - determine the bending radius



## Fixed Target Experiments

Momentum determination

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
p=e R B \quad & & & =L / R \\
& & =L / p \cdot e B \\
p & =e B \cdot L / \vartheta &
\end{array}
$$

Momentum
resolution: $\rightarrow \frac{\sigma_{p}}{p}=\frac{\sigma_{\vartheta}}{\vartheta} \quad$ with $\quad \sigma_{\vartheta} \sim \sigma_{x}$


Determination
of $\sigma_{p} / p$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vartheta=\frac{x}{h} \quad \sigma_{\vartheta}=\frac{\sigma_{x}}{h} \\
& \frac{\sigma_{p}}{p}=\frac{\sigma_{\vartheta}}{\vartheta}=\frac{\sigma_{x}}{h} \cdot \frac{p}{e B L}
\end{aligned}
$$

Long lever arm improves momentum resolution ...

## Magnets for $4 \pi$ Detectors

## Solenoid

+ Large homogeneous field inside
- Weak opposite field in return yoke
- Size limited by cost
- Relatively large material budget



## Examples:

-Delphi: SC, 1.2 T, 5.2 m, L 7.4 m
-L3: NC, 0.5 T, 11.9 m, L 11.9 m
-CMS: SC, 4 T, 5.9 m, L 12.5 m

Toroid

+ Field always perpendicular to $p$
+ Rel. large fields over large volume
+ Rel. low material budget
- Non-uniform field
- Complex structural design


Example:
-ATLAS: Barrel air toroid, SC, ~1 T, 9.4
m, L 24.3 m

## The CMS Tracker



## The CMS Tracker


front
back


## The Helix Equation

The helix is described in parametric form

$$
R(m)=\frac{p_{\perp}(G e V)}{0.3 B(T)}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x(s)=x_{o}+R\left[\cos \left(\Phi_{o}+\frac{h s \cos \lambda}{R}\right)-\cos \Phi_{o}\right] \\
& y(s)=y_{o}+R\left[\sin \left(\Phi_{o}+\frac{h s \cos \lambda}{R}\right)-\sin \Phi_{o}\right] \\
& z(s)=z_{o}+s \sin \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$


$\lambda$ is the dip angle $h= \pm 1$ is the sense of rotation on the helix The projection on th $x-y$ plane is a circle

$$
\left(x-x_{o}+R \cos \Phi_{o}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{o}+R \sin \Phi_{o}\right)^{2}=R^{2}
$$

$x_{o}$ and $y_{o}$ the coordinates at $s=0$
$\Phi_{0}$ is also related to the slope of the tangent to the circle at $s=0$


## Uncertainty on Momentum Measurement

To introduce the problem of momentum measurement let's go back to the sagitta a particle moving in a plane perpendicular to a uniform magnetic field $B$

$$
R=\frac{p}{0.3 B} \quad \frac{\delta p}{p}=\frac{\delta R}{R}
$$

the trajectory of the particle is an arc of radius $R$ of length $L$


## Momentum Resolution

We stress again that a good momentum resolution call for a long track

$$
\frac{\delta p}{p^{2}} \sim \frac{1}{L^{2}}
$$

any trick that can extend the track length can produce significant improvements on the momentum resolution
the use of the vertex can also improve momentum resolution:
the common vertex from which all the tracks originate can be fitted
the point found can be added to every track to extend the track length at $\boldsymbol{R}_{\text {min }} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$
the position of the beam spot can also be used as constraint
Extending $\boldsymbol{R}_{\text {max }}$ can be very expensive


## Tracking in a Magnetic Field

The previous example showed the basic principle of a track fit.
Let's now turn to a more complete treatement of the measurement of the charged particle trajectory
We have already seen that for an homogeneus magnetic field the trajectory projected on a plane perpendicular to the magnetic field is a circle

$$
\left(y-y_{o}\right)^{2}+\left(x-x_{o}\right)^{2}=R^{2}
$$


for not too low momenta we can use a linear approximation
$y=y_{o}+\sqrt{R^{2}-\left(x-x_{o}\right)^{2}}$
$y \approx y_{o}+R\left(1-\frac{\left(x-x_{o}\right)^{2}}{2 R^{2}}\right)$
$y=\left(y_{0}+R-\frac{x_{o}^{2}}{2 R}\right)+\frac{x_{0}}{R} x-\frac{1}{2 R} x^{2}$
we are led to the parabolic approximation of the trajectory

$$
y=a+b x+c x^{2}
$$

let's stress that as far as the track parameters is concerned the dependence is linear
The parameters $a, b, c$ are intercept at the origin slope at the origin radius of curvature (momentum)

## Quadratic Fit

Assume N detectors measuring the y coordinate [Gluckstern 63]


The detectors are placed at positions $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}, \ldots, x_{N}$
A track crossing the detectors gives the measurements $y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}, \ldots, y_{N}$
Each measurement has an error $\sigma_{n}$
Using the parabola approximation, the track parameters are found by minimizing the $\chi^{2}$

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{\left(y_{n}-a-b x_{n}-c x_{n}^{2}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{n}^{2}}
$$

The result is [4: Avery 1991, BlumRolandi 1993 p.204, Gluckstern 63]

$$
a=\frac{\sum y_{n} G_{n}}{\sum G_{n}} \quad b=\frac{\sum y_{n} G_{n}}{\sum x_{n} G_{n}} \quad c=\frac{\sum y_{n} G_{n}}{\sum x_{n}^{2} G_{n}}
$$

and finally the momentum error

$$
\frac{\delta p}{p^{2}}=\frac{\sigma}{0.3 B L^{2}} \sqrt{4 C_{N}}
$$

the formula shows the same basic features we noticed in the sagitta discussion
we have also found the dependence on the number of measurements (weak)

$$
C_{N}=\frac{180 N^{3}}{(N-1)(N+1)(N+2)(N+3)}
$$

for $\mathrm{N}>10: \quad C_{N} \approx \frac{720}{N+4} \rightarrow \frac{\delta p}{p^{2}} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$

## Tracking resolution and multiple scattering

We had the momentum resolution: $\left(\frac{\Delta P}{P}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{\Delta R}{R}\right)^{2}+(\tan \lambda \Delta \lambda)^{2}$
rewrite it using $P_{\perp}=0.3 B R$ and $\lambda=\pi / 2-\theta \rightarrow \tan \lambda=\frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta}=\frac{1}{\tan \theta}$
we get $\left(\frac{\Delta P}{P}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{\Delta P_{\perp}}{P_{\perp}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\Delta \theta}{\tan \theta}\right)^{2}$

Multiple scattering contribution:


$\Delta \theta=\sigma_{\theta} \approx \frac{14 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}}{p} \sqrt{\frac{L}{X_{0}}} \quad$ with: $L=R \theta$
$\frac{\sigma_{\theta}}{\theta} \approx \frac{14 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}}{p} \sqrt{\frac{L}{X_{0}}} \cdot \frac{R}{L} \approx \frac{50 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}}{p} \sqrt{\frac{1}{L X_{0}}} \cdot \frac{p}{B} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{L X_{0}} B}$
and we get:

$$
\left(\frac{\sigma_{p_{t}}}{p_{t}}\right)^{2}=\text { const } \cdot\left(\frac{p_{t}}{B L^{2}}\right)^{2}+\text { const } \cdot\left(\frac{1}{B \sqrt{L X_{0}}}\right)^{2}
$$

## Track Finding



- classification or pattern recognition problem
- multiple ambiguous hypotheses possible
- supposed to be conservative (discarded hypothesis cannot be recovered later)


## Track Finding

## examples for "global" track finding approaches

## -global track fit

$\star$ taking into account all possible combinations of hits
$\star$ number of possible combinations from thousands of hits is immense, track candidates need to be validated $\rightarrow$ computationally too expensive

- conformal mapping:
$\star$ circles (tracks) through the origin in a 2D $x-y$-coordinate system map to straight lines in $u-v$ system by the transformation

$$
u=\frac{x}{x^{2}+y^{2}}, \quad v=\frac{y}{x^{2}+y^{2}}
$$

where the circle equation is given by $(x-a)^{2}+(y-b)^{2}=r^{2}=a^{2}+b^{2}$
$\star$ scan along azimuthal angle to find accumulation of hits along the straight line (peaks in the histogram indicate tracks)
$\star$ works for high-pt tracks passing close to the origin

## Conformal Mapping Example

- Real space

- Conformal space

- Angle preserving, not length preserving
- Reference point must be on the circle
- Re-iterate with each hit point as seed


## Track Finding

example for "local" track finding approaches

## -track road:

$\star$ initiated with a set of measurements that could come from the same particle

* use a model (shape of the trajectory) to interpolate between the measurements and create a "road" around the trajectory
$\star$ measurements inside the road boundaries constitute the track candidate

* subsequent track fit can evaluate the correctness


## Iterative Tracking in CMS

## six iterations:

- propagate seed outwards and search for new hits
- unambiguously assigned hits are removed from the list
- filter track collection to remove
 fakes or bad tracks
- repeat with remaining hits


## differences in seeding:

- first two iterations: pixel pairs or pixel triplets, $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{t}}>0.9 \mathrm{GeV}$
- third iteration: pixel triplets, low momentum tracks
- fourth iteration: pixel + strip layers as seeds (find displaced tracks)
- fifth, sixth iterations: strip pairs (for tracks lacking pixel hits)



## Vertex Finding


similar problem of "classification"
or pattern recognition

- find points in space where tracks originate (and associated uncertainties)
- example: proton collisions, decays of long-lived particles
two main steps:
-vertex finding
-vertex fitting


## Vertex Finding

- need to identify all proton-proton interactions from one bunch crossing - identify points along the beam line where tracks are intersecting
- simplest algorithm: cluster finding



## Track Reconstruction Performance

-a helix is fully defined with $\mathbf{5}$ parameters. In CMS the parameters are chosen for practical reasons as:

- transverse momentum: $\mathbf{p t}_{\mathbf{t}}$
- azimuthal angle: $\phi$
- polar angle: $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o t }} \theta=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a n }} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$
- transverse impact parameter at the point of closest approach to PV: do
- longitudinal impact parameter: $\mathbf{Z o}_{\mathbf{0}}$




